



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/903,851	07/11/2001	Fay Chong JR.	. 5181-82000	2195
7590 05/27/2004			EXAMINER	
Robert C. Kowert			WILSON, YOLANDA L	
Conley, Rose, & Tayon, P.C. P.O. Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 78767			2113	
•			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004	, >

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/903,851	CHONG, FAY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yolanda Wilson	2113			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J	<u>luly 2001</u> .				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,10-17,20-29 and 32-37 is/are rej 7) Claim(s) 8,9,18,19,30,31,38 and 39 is/are obj 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	ected. ected to.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat ority documents have been received in Applicat (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2113

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 8,9,18,19,30,31,38,39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-6,10,12-15,20-27,32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saito (USPN 6694475B1). As per claim 1, Saito discloses a functional unit configured to perform an operation on one or more block operands; an accumulator memory comprising a first memory bank having a first interface and a second memory bank having a second interface, wherein the first and second interfaces are independent of each other; and a control unit configured to receive a first command to perform the operation on a first operand identified by an address of the accumulator memory and to store a first result of the operation to the same address; wherein in response to receiving the first command, the control unit is configured to cause the first memory bank to output the first operand to the functional unit via the first interface and

Art Unit: 2113

to cause the second memory bank to store the first result generated by the functional unit via the second interface in column 9, lines 1-51. The functional unit is the XOR gate (35), the accumulator memory is RAM(p1) and RAM(p2), and the control unit is the control circuit (34).

- 4. As per claim 2, Saito discloses wherein in response to the functional unit completing the first operation, the control unit is configured to cause the second memory bank to provide a second operand if the control unit receives a second command that identifies the second operand using the address in column 9, lines 21-51.
- 5. As per claim 3, Saito discloses wherein the control unit is further configured to receive a second command to perform the operation on a second operand and to store a second result of the operation to the address, wherein in response to receiving the second command, the control unit is configured to cause the second memory bank to provide the second operand to the functional unit via the second interface and to cause the first memory bank to store the second result via the first interface in column 9, lines 21-51.
- 6. As per claim 4, Saito discloses wherein in response to the functional unit completing the second operation, the control unit is configured to cause the first memory bank to provide a third operand if the control unit receives a third command that identifies the third operand using the address in column 9, lines 21-51.
- 7. As per claim 5, Saito discloses wherein the operation has a duration extending from when the operation is initiated to when the operation completes, and wherein for the duration of the operation that is performed on the first operand, the first memory

Art Unit: 2113

bank is in a providing mode and the second memory bank is in a storing mode in column 9, lines 21-51.

- 8. As per claim 6, Saito discloses wherein the operation comprises a parity calculation, and wherein the command is issued by a storage system controller in column 8, lines 11-41.
- 9. As per claim 10, Saito discloses wherein the functional unit is configured to perform the operation on two operands, wherein the second operand is provided by a source other than the first and second memory banks in column 9, lines 29-38.
- 10. As per claim 12, Saito discloses a functional unit configured to perform an operation on one or more block operands; an accumulator memory comprising a first memory bank having a first interface and a second memory bank having a second interface, wherein the first and second interfaces are independent of each other; and a control unit configured to receive commands to perform the operation, wherein each command to perform the operation instructs the control unit to perform the operation on an operand identified by a first address in the accumulator memory and to store a result of the operation to a second address in the accumulator memory; wherein in response to every command to perform the operation that the control unit receives, the control unit is configured to provide the operand from one of the first and second memory banks to the functional unit and to map the second address to a location in the other one of the first and second memory banks so that the result of the operation is always stored in a different memory bank than the operand is stored in column 9, lines 1-51.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/903,851

'Art Unit: 2113

The functional unit is the XOR gate (35), the accumulator memory is RAM(p1) and RAM(p2), and the control unit is the control circuit (34).

- 11. As per claim 13, Saito discloses wherein the first and second addresses are the same in column 9, lines 52-65.
- 12. As per claim 14, Saito discloses wherein the operation comprises a parity calculation, and wherein the command is issued by a storage system controller in column 8, lines 11-41.
- 13. As per claim 15, Saito discloses wherein the functional unit is configured to perform the operation on two operands, wherein one of the operands is provided by either the first or the second memory bank and the other operand is provided by a source other than the first and second memory banks in column 9, lines 29-38.
- 14. As per claim 20, Saito discloses wherein the operation has a duration extending from when the operation is initiated to when the operation completes, and wherein for the duration of the operation that is performed on a first operand, the first memory bank is in a providing mode and the second memory bank is in a storing mode in column 9, lines 21-51.
- 15. As per claim 21, Saito discloses receiving a first command to perform an operation on a first operand identified by a first address and to store a first result of the operation to the first address; and in response to said receiving a first command: providing the first operand from a first memory bank in an accumulator memory via a first interface; performing the operation on the first operand; and storing the first result of the operation in a second memory bank in the accumulator memory via a second

'Art Unit: 2113

interface, wherein the first and second interface are independent of each other in column 9, lines 1-51. The functional unit is the XOR gate (35), the accumulator memory is RAM(p1) and RAM(p2), and the control unit is the control circuit (34).

Page 6

- 16. As per claim 22, Saito discloses causing the second memory bank to provide a second operand in response to receiving another command that identifies the second operand using the first address after said storing the first result of the operation in the second memory bank in column 9, lines 21-51.
- 17. As per claim 23, Saito discloses receiving a second command to perform the operation on a second operand identified by the first address and to store a second result of the operation to the first address; and in response to said receiving a second command: providing the second operand from the second memory bank via the second interface; performing the operation on the second operand; and storing the second result in the first memory bank via the first interface in column 9, lines 21-51.
- 18. As per claim 24, Saito discloses causing the first memory bank to provide a third operand in response to receiving another command that identifies the third operand using the first address after said storing the second result of the operation in the first memory bank in column 9, lines 21-51.
- 19. As per claim 25, Saito discloses wherein the operation has a duration extending from when the operation is initiated to when the operation completes, and wherein for the duration of the operation that is performed on the first operand, the first memory bank is in a providing mode and the second memory bank is in a storing mode in column 9, lines 21-51.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/903,851

Art Unit: 2113

- 20. As per claim 26, Saito discloses wherein the operation comprises a parity calculation, and wherein the first command is issued by a storage system controller in column 8, lines 11-41.
- 21. As per claim 27, Saito discloses wherein said performing the operation on the first operand comprises performing the operation on both the first operand and another operand, wherein the other operand is provided by a source other than the first and second memory banks in column 9, lines 29-38.
- 22. As per claim 32, Saito discloses receiving one or more commands to perform an operation on an operand identified by a first address in an accumulator memory and to store a result of the operation to a second address in the accumulator memory, wherein the accumulator memory comprises two independently interfaced memory banks; and in response to receiving each of the one or more commands: providing the operand from one of memory banks in the accumulator memory; performing the operation on the operand; and mapping the second address to a new address in the other one of the memory banks in the accumulator memory so that the result of the operation is always stored in a different memory bank than the operand is stored in column 9, lines 1-51. The functional unit is the XOR gate (35), the accumulator memory is RAM(p1) and RAM(p2), and the control unit is the control circuit (34).
- 23. As per claim 33, Saito discloses wherein the first and second addresses are the same in column 9, lines 52-65.

Art Unit: 2113

24. As per claim 34, Saito discloses wherein the operation comprises a parity calculation, and wherein the command is issued by a storage system controller in column 8, lines 11-41.

25. As per claim 35, Saito discloses wherein said performing the operation on the operand comprises performing the operation on a first operand provided by the accumulator memory and another operand provided by a source other than the accumulator memory in column 9, lines 29-38.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 26. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 27. Claims 7,17,29,37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito in view of Elliott et al. (USPN 5392425A). As per claim 7, Saito fails to explicitly state the control unit is configured to restart the operation in response to an error occurring by providing the first operand from the first memory bank again and by storing the result of the restarted operation in the second memory bank.

Elliott et al. discloses this limitation in column 3, lines 50-54 and column 7, lines 37-50.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the control unit to restart the operation in response to an error. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have the

Art Unit: 2113

control unit to restart the operation in response to an error because retrying the parity calculation helps to determine if the parity error is transient or if something is wrong with the computer system.

28. As per claim 17, Saito fails to explicitly state during the performance of the operation initiated by receiving one of the commands, the control unit is configured to restart the operation in response to an error occurring by providing the operand from the one of the first and second memory banks again.

Elliott et al. discloses this limitation in column 3, lines 50-54 and column 7, lines 37-50.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the control unit to restart the operation in response to an error. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have the control unit to restart the operation in response to an error because retrying the parity calculation helps to determine if the parity error is transient or if something is wrong with the computer system.

29. As per claim 29, Saito fails to explicitly state restarting said performing the operation on the first operand in response to an error occurring, wherein said restarting comprises providing the first operand from the first memory bank again and storing the result of the restarted operation in the second memory bank.

Elliott et al. discloses this limitation in column 3, lines 50-54 and column 7, lines 37-50.



Art Unit: 2113

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the control unit to restart the operation in response to an error. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have the control unit to restart the operation in response to an error because retrying the parity calculation helps to determine if the parity error is transient or if something is wrong with the computer system.

30. As per claim 37, Saito fails to explicitly state restarting said performing the operation on a first operand in response to an error occurring, wherein said restarting comprises providing the first operand from a first memory bank again and storing the result of the restarted operation in a second memory bank.

Elliott et al. discloses this limitation in column 3, lines 50-54 and column 7, lines 37-50.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the control unit to restart the operation in response to an error. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have the control unit to restart the operation in response to an error because retrying the parity calculation helps to determine if the parity error is transient or if something is wrong with the computer system.

31. Claims 11,16,28,36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito in view of Bains (USPN 5701438A). As per claims 11,16,28,36, Saito fails to explicitly state the source and the accumulator memory each comprise a same type and speed of memory.

Art Unit: 2113

Bains discloses this limitation in column 7, lines 35-46.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the source and the accumulator memory each comprise a same type and speed of memory. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have the source and the accumulator memory each comprise a same type and speed of memory because the data can be accessed at the same rate so as not to reduce the overall performance of the computer system. Bains discloses this in column 1, lines 11-17.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yolanda Wilson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3298. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (703) 305-9713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2113

Page 12

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100